

# Representation Theory and the Matrix Bochner Problem

ICM 2018 Satellite Cusco

W.R. Casper

Department of Mathematics  
Louisiana State University

August 27, 2018

# Outline

- 1 Representation Theory and Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
  - Introduction
  - Spherical Functions
  - Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
- 2 The Matrix Bochner Problem
  - The Problem
  - Classification
- 3 Consequences for Spherical Functions
  - Weight Matrix Factorization
  - Differential Dependence

# Outline

- 1 Representation Theory and Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
  - Introduction
  - Spherical Functions
  - Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
- 2 The Matrix Bochner Problem
  - The Problem
  - Classification
- 3 Consequences for Spherical Functions
  - Weight Matrix Factorization
  - Differential Dependence

## Two very different topics

There is a surprising connection between two unlikely topics:

### Topic 1: Irreducible unitary representations of Gelfand Pairs

Certain nice pairs of Lie groups  $(G, K)$  with  $K \subseteq G$  such as  
 $(\mathrm{SU}(2n+1), \mathrm{U}(n))$ ,  $(\mathrm{SO}(n), \mathrm{SO}(n-1))$ ,  $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{diag}), \dots$

### Topic 2: Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials satisfying 2<sup>nd</sup> order matrix-valued differential equations

matrix-valued generalizations of the classical orthogonal polynomials of Hermite, Laguerre, and Jacobi.

## Our topic

- **One direction:** we can use the representation theory to construct examples of polynomials
- **Opposite direction:** the polynomials describe the analytic behavior of spherical functions on each Gelfand pair — spherical functions in turn describe the behavior of the matrix entries of irreducible unitary representations
- **New result:** classification of the orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying  $2^{\text{nd}}$  order equations (ie. matrix Bochner problem)
- We will discuss this classification and how it might fit into the above relationship

# Outline

- 1 Representation Theory and Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
  - Introduction
  - Spherical Functions
  - Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
- 2 The Matrix Bochner Problem
  - The Problem
  - Classification
- 3 Consequences for Spherical Functions
  - Weight Matrix Factorization
  - Differential Dependence

# Multiplicity-free representations

For the purposes of this talk

- $G$  will be a real, compact, connected semisimple Lie group
- $K$  will be a closed subgroup
- $\widehat{G}, \widehat{K}$  dual objects

An irreducible unitary representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\pi)$  restricts to

$$\pi|_K \cong \bigoplus_{[\tau] \in \widehat{K}} \tau^{\oplus m_\pi([\tau])}.$$

## Definition

An equivalence class  $[\tau] \in \widehat{K}$  is **multiplicity free** if  $m_\pi([\tau]) \leq 1$  for all  $[\pi] \in \widehat{G}$ .

## Spherical function definition

Let  $[\pi] \in \widehat{G}$  and  $[\tau] \in \widehat{K}$  be multiplicity free with  $m_\pi(\tau) = 1$  and  $b : V_\tau \rightarrow V_\pi$  the corresponding unitary  $K$ -equivariant embedding with Hermitian adjoint  $b^* : V_\pi \rightarrow V_\tau$ . Then

$$F_\pi^\tau : G \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\tau), \quad F_\pi^\tau(g) \mapsto b^* \pi(g) b$$

satisfies the property that

$$F(k_1 g k_2) = \tau(k_1) F(g) \tau(k_2), \quad \forall k_1, k_2 \in K, g \in G. \quad (1)$$

### Definition

A function  $F : G \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\tau)$  satisfying Equation 1 is called a  **$\tau$ -spherical function**.



# Elementary spherical functions

The space  $C_\tau(G)$  of  $\tau$ -spherical functions has an inner product

$$\langle F_1, F_2 \rangle := \int_G \operatorname{Tr}(F_1(g)^* F_2(g)) dg.$$

## Definition

A spherical function of the form  $F_\pi^\tau$  (as constructed in the previous slide) is called a **elementary spherical function**.

## Theorem (van Pruijssen, Roman)

*The elementary spherical functions form an orthonormal basis for  $C_\tau(G)$ .*

# Outline

- 1 Representation Theory and Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
  - Introduction
  - Spherical Functions
  - Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
- 2 The Matrix Bochner Problem
  - The Problem
  - Classification
- 3 Consequences for Spherical Functions
  - Weight Matrix Factorization
  - Differential Dependence

# Zonal spherical functions

## Definition

A **zonal spherical function** is a function in  $C_1(G)$ , ie. a function

$$f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f(k_1 g k_2) = f(g) \quad \forall k_1, k_2 \in K, g \in G.$$

If  $(G, K)$  is a compact, rank 1 Gelfand pair then  $C_1(G)$  is a polynomial ring  $C_1(G) = \mathbb{C}[\phi]$ . The function  $\phi$  is elementary and is called the **fundamental zonal spherical function**.

We assume moving forward that  $(G, K)$  is a compact, rank 1 Gelfand pair.

## Degree map

- There exists  $B(\tau) \subseteq \widehat{G}$  such that

$$C_\tau(G) = \bigoplus_{[\pi] \in B(\tau)} \mathbb{C}[\phi] F_\pi^\tau.$$

- More specifically for all  $[\eta] \in \widehat{G}$  with  $m_\eta(\tau) = 1$

$$F_\eta^\tau = \sum_{[\pi] \in B(\tau)} q_{\eta,\pi}(\phi) F_\pi^\tau \quad \text{for some } q_{\eta,\pi}(\phi) \in \mathbb{C}[\phi]$$

### Definition

We define the **degree** of  $[\eta] \in \widehat{G}$  with  $m_\eta(\tau) = 1$  to be

$$\deg([\eta]) = \max_{\pi \in B(\tau)} (\deg q_{\eta,\pi}).$$

# Orthogonal polynomial definition

**Minor miracle:** For each  $n \geq 0$  the set

$$B(\tau, n) = \{[\pi] \in \widehat{G} : m_{[\pi]}([\tau]) = 1, \deg([\pi]) = n\}$$

has order exactly  $\ell := |B(\tau)|$ .

## Definition

For each  $n \geq 0$ , fix an ordering of  $B(\tau, n)$  and define

$$P_n(\phi) = [q_{\eta, \pi}(\phi) : ([\eta], [\pi]) \in B(\tau, n) \times B(\tau)].$$

$$W(g) := [\text{Tr}(F_\pi(g)^* F_{\pi'}(g)) : ([\pi], [\pi']) \in B(\tau) \times B(\tau)].$$

# Orthogonal polynomial properties

- $\deg(P_n(x)) = n$  with nonsingular leading coeff for all  $n \geq 0$
- Orthogonality:

$$\int_{-1}^1 P_n(x)^* W(x) P_m(x) (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta dx = 0.$$

for  $(1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta$  corresponding to the change of variables  $x = c\phi + d$  where  $c$  and  $d$  are chosen so that  $x : G \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  surjectively.

- The  $P_n(x)$  are all eigenfunctions of a second-order differential operator:

$$(1-x^2)P_n''(x) + (B_1x + B_0)P_n'(x) + CP_n(x) = P_n(x)\Lambda_n,$$

where here the  $B_1, B_0, C, \Lambda_n \in M_\ell(\mathbb{C})$ .

# Outline

- 1 Representation Theory and Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
  - Introduction
  - Spherical Functions
  - Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
- 2 The Matrix Bochner Problem
  - The Problem
  - Classification
- 3 Consequences for Spherical Functions
  - Weight Matrix Factorization
  - Differential Dependence

# Matrix Bochner problem

## Problem

Classify all orthogonal matrix polynomials which are eigenfunctions of a second-order differential operator.

- Equiv. classify all weights  $W(x)$
- More generally, calculate

$$\mathcal{D}(W) = \{D : \exists \Lambda(n) \text{ s.t. } P_n(x) \cdot D = \Lambda(n)P_n(x) \forall n\}.$$



## Classical (scalar) examples

- Hermite polynomials corresp. to weight  $W(x) = e^{-x^2}$  are eigenfunctions of  $\partial_x^2 - 2x$
- Laguerre polynomials corresp. to weight  $W(x) = x^b e^{-x} 1_{(0,\infty)}(x)$  are eigenfunctions of  $\partial_x^2 x + \partial_x(b + 1 - x)$
- Jacobi polynomials corresp. to weight  $W(x) = (1 - x)^a (1 + x)^b 1_{(-1,1)}(x)$  are eigenfunctions of  $\partial_x^2 (1 - x)^2 + \partial_x(a - b - (a + b + 2)x)$

### Theorem (Bochner)

*Up to affine trans. these are all possible cases which are  $1 \times 1$*

# Outline

- 1 Representation Theory and Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
  - Introduction
  - Spherical Functions
  - Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
- 2 The Matrix Bochner Problem
  - The Problem
  - **Classification**
- 3 Consequences for Spherical Functions
  - Weight Matrix Factorization
  - Differential Dependence

# The algebra $\mathcal{D}(W)$

- subalgebra of  $M_N(\mathbb{C}[x, \partial_x])^{op}$
- closed under adjoint operation

$$D \mapsto D^\dagger = W(x)D^*W(x)^{-1}.$$

- noncommutative, semiprime PI algebra
- finitely generated algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  (nontrivial!)
- center  $\mathcal{Z}(W)$  is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{C}$

# Local structure of $\mathcal{D}(W)$

- Ring of fractions

$$\mathcal{F}(W) = \{B^{-1}A : A, B \in \mathcal{Z}(W), B \text{ not a zero divisor}\}.$$

- $\mathcal{F}_i(W)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  fraction field of  $i$ 'th irred. component of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{Z}(W))$

Theorem (-, Yakimov 2018)

$$\mathcal{D}(W) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}(W)} \mathcal{F}(W) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\mathcal{F}_i(W)).$$

# Classification

- $n_1 + \dots + n_r$  is the **rank** of  $\mathcal{D}(W)$  (bounded by  $\ell$ )

## Theorem (-, Yakimov 2018)

Let  $W(x)$  be an  $\ell \times \ell$  weight matrix solving Bochner, with  $\mathcal{D}(W)$  having rank  $\ell$ . Then

$$W(x) = U(x) \operatorname{diag}(r_1(x), \dots, r_\ell(x)) U(x)^*$$

for some rational matrix  $U(x)$  and some classical weights  $r_1(x), \dots, r_\ell(x)$  and

$$P_n(x) = \operatorname{diag}(p_{1n}(x), \dots, p_{\ell,n}(x)) \cdot L$$

for some differential operator  $L$ .

# Outline

- 1 Representation Theory and Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
  - Introduction
  - Spherical Functions
  - Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
  
- 2 The Matrix Bochner Problem
  - The Problem
  - Classification
  
- 3 Consequences for Spherical Functions
  - **Weight Matrix Factorization**
  - Differential Dependence

# Weight matrix

- Recall that a fixed multiplicity free representation  $\tau$  of  $K$  lead to a matrix weight  $W(x) = W_\tau(x)$ .
- The above classification says

$$W_\tau(x) = U_\tau(x)\text{diag}(r_1(x), \dots, r_\ell(x))U_\tau(x)^*$$

- This type factorization was observed previously in special cases, now explained!

# Outline

- 1 Representation Theory and Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
  - Introduction
  - Spherical Functions
  - Orthogonal Matrix Polynomials
- 2 The Matrix Bochner Problem
  - The Problem
  - Classification
- 3 Consequences for Spherical Functions
  - Weight Matrix Factorization
  - **Differential Dependence**



# Differential operator construction

- The polynomials of  $W_\tau(x)$  are eigenfunctions of a 2<sup>nd</sup> order differential operator  $D_\tau$
- $D_\tau$  is (essentially) given by a Casimir element  $X \in U(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^K$
- the action of  $X$  preserves  $\tau$ -spherical functions

$$X \cdot F_\eta^\tau = \sum_{\pi \in B(\tau)} a_{\eta, \pi}(\phi) F_\pi^\eta$$

- induces a map  $\Pi_\tau(X) : \mathbb{C}[\phi]^{\oplus \ell} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\phi]^{\oplus \ell}$
- $\Pi_\tau(X)$  is a matrix differential operator (essentially the image of  $X$  under the Casselman-Miličić map)
- $D_\tau$  is obtained from  $\Pi_\tau$  after conjugation and change of variable

# Diagonalization of differential operator

- $L_\tau$  diagonalizes  $D_\tau$ :

$$L_\tau D_\tau = \text{diag}(D_1, \dots, D_\ell) L_\tau$$

for some differential operators  $D_1, \dots, D_\ell$ .

- the values of  $\Pi_\tau(X)$  are all related for different  $\tau$
- induces relationships between  $\tau$ -spherical and  $\mu$ -spherical functions for  $[\tau], [\mu] \in \widehat{K}$

**Future work:** try to understand the values of  $U_\tau(x)$  and  $L_\tau$  from Lie theoretic perspective

# Thanks for listening!

- New paper: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.04405>
- Bochner, Salomon. Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme, Mathematische Zeitschrift 1929.
- Kreĭn, M. Infinite  $J$ -matrices and the matrix-moment problem, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR 1949
- Geiger, Joel and Horozov, Emil and Yakimov, Milen. Noncommutative bispectral Darboux transformations, Transactions AMS 2017
- van Pruijssen, Marten and Román, Pablo. Matrix valued classical pairs related to compact Gelfand pairs of rank one, SIGMA 10 2014